

MYSORE ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1955.

Introduction.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government and Public Health).—I beg to introduce the Mysore Elementary Education (Amendment) Bill, 1955 which was published in the Gazette, dated 26th February 1955, under rule 48 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.

LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS.

Disposal of Bills.

*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—(Jagalur).—A number of Bills have been introduced and many Bills of the last session are also pending consideration so much so nearly 25 Bills are pending. I would like to know whether the Government intend pursuing all these Bills during this session and disposing them of, or what are the Bills they are going to take up, are we expected to remain till all the Bills are disposed of, or are you going to make a selection and consider only some Bills; I would like to have an indication. In fact, we have not got copies of all the Bills. The Bills are supplied to us on pieces of paper and they are always misplaced. Practically we are in a jungle of legislative work, in a jungle of Bills to the right of us and to the left of us. So, I would like the Government to tell us specifically, what are the Bills they are going to take up during this session, because we want to study the Bills. Are you going to take up all the Bills and finish them off during this session, irrespective of the time the session will take, or are you going to give any priority to certain Bills? Are you going to finish all the Bills before the 19th of April? Let them be definite; let them not take us by surprise. We are anxiously waiting for some important Bills like the District Board Bill, and the University Bill. We are not bothered about the Financial Bills. The

most urgent Bill that is to be placed is the Local Boards Bill. We have not heard anything about it. Let the Government clear our confusion and tell us categorically what are the Bills they are going to dispose of so that we can concentrate our attention on them.

*Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—I wish to make a statement in this connection about the way in which the Bills are included in the agenda. It looks as though they want to finish off the whole thing in five or six days. We introduce a Bill, we move that the Bill be taken into consideration and also move that the Bill be passed. They do not seem to feel the responsibility of a Bill being placed and the whole thing being passed through in one hour. If really we want to do justice to these Bills, it is very necessary that they should allot particular days for different Bills. What is actually happening is: take for example the Tenancy Bill. That was introduced; we do not know its whereabouts. Instead, new Bills are being introduced day after day. Again several Bills which were introduced, the Bills which ought to be applied to Bellary and so many others things while all those Bills that were introduced previously are still pending, you introduce new Bills again after a month and a half have elapsed, and we met again. For how many months are we going to sit, how many days are we going to take for each Bill—all these things have yet to be considered by this House, because consideration of each Bill depends upon its controversial nature or the nature of the amendments brought about. Therefore, I would earnestly request the Ministers to consider all these aspects deeply and allot sufficient time so that we might deliberate upon the pros and cons of these Bills and discuss them in the light they are brought in. If we should close this session, as is prescribed, by the 19th of April, there will be so many other things which ought to be discussed again. There are so many other Bills pending. By the time the next session commences, we will have forgotten the implications of the whole thing and even what was necessary at

one time will have been changed later on. They may have to bring in an amendment and delete the whole thing. That will be the position. I would earnestly request the Ministers to consider these things before introducing the Bills and give sufficient time for us to discuss the Bills. I would also suggest in this connection that instead of giving us a scrap of paper with a few sentences printed on—where we throw them God only knows . . .

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—You are not expected to throw them away.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—It is a small paper lying there. We are likely to mislay it. If it is in a compiled book form, that would be a different thing.

Sri T. MARIAPPA (Mysore City—North).—He has got a big file.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—These Bills are to be compiled in the form of a booklet, properly tagged and given to us.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—May I add a few words to what has been said? Some of the Bills are really very important. They require a lot of study. Therefore, it is much better if the House is informed—and let it be earlier—that certain Bills would be taken up on certain days. That would be very helpful to us.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ಸಾಗರ—ಹೊಸ ನಗರ).—ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಮಂಡಿಸತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ನಾಯಕ ರೇನು ಹೇಳಿದರೋ ಅದನ್ನೂ ಮತ್ತು ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಅನುಮೋದಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈಗ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಸೂದೆಯು ಬಹಳ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದದ್ದು. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ಈಗ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ತರತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಕಂಡು ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಎಚ್. ಚಂದ್ರ ಶೇಖರಯ್ಯನವರ ಸಮಿತಿಯೊಂದು ಒಂದನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು. ಆದರೆ ಆಗ ಈ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆ ಅಥವಾ ಈ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳನ್ನೇ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಬೇಕೆ ಎನ್ನುವಂಥ ಒಂದು ಜಿಜ್ಞಾಸೆ ಬಂದಿತ್ತು. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆಗ ಆ ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಆ ಸಮಿತಿಯವರ ವರದಿ ಕೂಡ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ಮಧ್ಯೆ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ನಡೆಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈಗ ನನಗೆ

ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಸಂದೇಹ ಉಂಟಾಗಿರುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಈಗ ಆ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆಯೇ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವುದೋ ಒಂದು ಹಳೆಯ ಕಾನೂನಿದೆಯಲ್ಲಾ ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆಸಲು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಏನೋ ಎನ್ನುತಕ್ಕಂಥಾ ಒಂದು ಅನುಮಾನ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದು ದುಂಬ ನಾನು ಈಗ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ಈಗ ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ತಂದು ಅದನ್ನು ಪಾಸ್ ಆಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು ಅತಿ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕರು ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪಲಾರೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದೊಂದು ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ತಂದ ದಿವಸವೇ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಸತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸನ್ನಿವೇಶಗಳು ಒದಗುವುದರಿಂದ, ಬಿಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು introduce ಮಾಡಿ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು consider ಮಾಡಿದನಂತರ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡದೆ ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಅದೇ ದಿವಸ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಕೆಲವು ವೇಳೆ ಈ consideration stageನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ನಾಡಲು ಯಾವ ಸದಸ್ಯರೂ ಮುಂದೆ ಬಾರದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಆಗ the work of the Assembly may suffer.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ. —ನನ್ನ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನೇ ನೀವು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೂ ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಉದ್ದೇಶ ನಿಜವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತಂದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ದಿವಸವೇ ಅದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ನಾನೇನೂ ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದಂಥ ಆ ಮಸೂದೆಗಳ ಮೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಜ್ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿದಿದೆ, ನನಗೂ ಅದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್ (ನೆಲಮಂಗಲ—ಅನುಸೂಚಿತ ಜಾತಿಗಳು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾನ್ಯ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಾವು ಸ್ನೋ ಆಗಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ, ಅವರು “ಇಲ್ಲ ನಾನು ಉಗ್ರ ಗಾಮಿಯಾಗಿಯೇ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ನಾವು ಆ ಚಿನ್ನೇನಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನೇನೋ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸೆರೆಕ್ ಸಮಿತಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಸಾರ್ತಿ ಈ ಲಿಮಿಟೇಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಷನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಲಿಮಿಟೇಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಹೋಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳತಕ್ಕ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಹೊಂದಿದವರಾಗಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಆ ಚಿನ್ನೇನಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಆ ಸೆರೆಕ್ ಸಮಿತಿಯವರ ಮುಂದೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಅಯಿತು. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಅಪ್ಪಿರಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಇನ್ನೂ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲೇ ನಾದರೂ ತರುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಅದೂ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂತೂ ಈಗ ಈ ಚಿನ್ನೇನಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಇದ್ದಕ್ಕಿದ್ದ ಹಾಗೇ ಮಾಯವಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಿದೆ. ಅನೇಕ ರೈತರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ ಪಾಸಾಗದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಬಹಳ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅನೇಕ ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರರು ರೈತರನ್ನು ಜಮೀನು ಬಡಿಸಿ ಒಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆ ಚಿನ್ನೇನಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಸುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಒತ್ತಾಯ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪೃಥ್ವೀಕರ್.)

ಪಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಈ ಸಾರ್ತಿ ಪಾನ್ ಮಾಡಿಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಈ ಲಿಮಿಟೇಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಹೋಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಬಹಳ ನಂತರಕ್ಕೆ ಈಡಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಈಗಲೇ ಈ ಲಿಮಿಟೇಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಪಾರ್ಷಿಷ್ ಮತ್ತು ಲಿಮಿಟೇಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಹೋಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಇಂಥ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಬಿಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಬಾಕಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಿಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—I would like to assure the House that when Bills are introduced, only those bills that the Government feel as absolutely essential and imminent will be introduced and as and when occasion arises and as and when certain formalities have been complied with, Bills will have to be introduced. Take, for instance, the Bill which I have introduced today. It needed the assent of the President before the Bill was introduced in the House. Amendments were found absolutely essential in the working of the Act regarding S.T.A. and other organisations as have been brought to the notice of the Government. The Government therefore share the view of the Hon'ble Members also that only minimum number of Bills as are found necessary will have to be introduced in this House. I may make a suggestion for your kind consideration. In Parliament, I understand, there is a procedure in this regard. A Steering Committee has been formed there which allots specified days or a maximum time within which a Bill will have to be gone through. If we have a procedure like that, it would be useful. Now, as the matter stands, so far as the discussion of the Bill is concerned, we are also aware that there is no time limit fixed and that no discussion has been stifled for want of time. If, probably, we have a Committee like that which decides, looking into the importance of the Bill, as to what time we may allot for each Bill, perhaps that will facilitate matters and we may dispose of the Bills in a business like manner. This is a suggestion I am bringing for your kind consideration.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—I wish to add a few words with regard to the

complaint that these Bills are printed in scraps of papers and distributed and the members are losing those scraps of papers. I wish to point out that these Bills will have to be printed necessarily on papers. It is the duty of the Hon'ble Members to take care of these Bills and to preserve them. If responsible members complain that they are likely to lose these Bills, it is no compliment to us.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—The Hon'ble Minister does not know that, according to the previous practice, all these Bills used to be printed together bound in the form of a Book. So, we and had a consolidated list of these Bills. Now they give these Bills on small pieces of paper and in spite of our best vigilance these Bills are lost sight of in the midst of our papers.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Even in the Parliament, it is the same practice.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Today in the afternoon they think of bringing forward amendments and tomorrow they bring them up. That is the difficulty. If they had decided as to how many Bills they had to bring before this House and in what form, they could have given them in the form of a booklet form. The difficulty is, my Hon'ble friend thinks of an amendment just this afternoon and brings it tomorrow with the result that the Bill will come printed on a piece of paper. Sri Siddaveerappa suggested that a Steering Committee would be useful. We have no objection to have such a Committee. We neither want to waste time. Let us fix time-limit if necessary. We do not object to a Committee limiting the time. In that case, the Steering Committee considers how much time is needed for each Bill and they will be liberal enough to fix a day more, if necessary. We do not agree to members going on speaking relevantly or irrelevantly and neither do we want to do such a thing.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I am glad my Hon'ble friend Sri Bheemappa Naik is in favour of fixing a certain time limit for discussion of Bills.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—What was intended was proper spacing of discussion. We do not want to take more

time than what is necessary. It need not be caught hold of by Sri Kadidal Manjappa that it is very desirable to fix a time limit. The House has got ample discretion and you have got ample discretion and there is no need to catch hold of a phrase.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is necessity there for a Steering Committee, that is what he said.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು (ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ).—ಇದರ ಮೇಲಿನ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಬಹಳ ಸ್ವಾರಸ್ಯವಾಗಿಯೂ, ಕಚ್ಚುಕರವಾಗಿಯೂ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಸೆರೆಕ್ಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ವಿನಂತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—If possible, all the Bills can be printed together and then they may be supplied in the form of booklets. But it is not possible always. Some of the Bills take a lot of time for consideration, scrutiny and such other things. Therefore, all the Bills cannot be clubbed together in the form of a book. But, if possible, we can do it hereafter. Then as regards the complaint that the District Boards Bill has not been introduced, I may say, according to the revised programme, we are sitting till the 19th. So there is ample time to introduce that Bill. As regards the complaint that Tenancy Amendment Bill has been ignored and some other Bills have been preferred, I should like to say in this connection that Sri Bheemappa Naik has taken two days in connection with that Bill . . .

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—You must refer to him as Member from Molakalmuru.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member from Molakalmuru has taken two days. Similarly other members may take 3 or 4 days; so, because that Bill is likely to take some more time, we thought Bills of less controversial nature can be finished early.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—The Hon'ble Minister said that the Hon'ble Member from Molakalmuru had taken two days and so he does not want to bring it again unless other members take 3 days each?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I am anxious that the Bill should be considered and passed.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—Then, may I know what would be the fate of the Tenancy Amendment Bill?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It will also be taken up.

MYSORE WEIGHTS AND MEASURES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1954.

Motion to consider (Contd.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, we shall continue the debate on the Weights and Measures (Amendment) Bill, 1954.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—What is the weight that the Government are going to adopt for weighing mutton and fish and similar edible things? They use a different weight of 80 tolas which form one seer. I want to know whether this Bill will apply to those things also.

* Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—Sir, I believe, I made the position absolutely clear leaving no room for any kind of doubt at all. There are four kinds of weights which I have provided in the First Schedule. One is for general use; another is for use in the trade in gold and silver; the third one is for use in the trade in precious stones and pearls; and the fourth one is for use in the apothecaries' trade. These are the only four kinds of trade that I recognise. Whether it is mutton or fish or whatever kind of thing, that will have to be sold or purchased by making use of these standard weights.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ (ವಿಳಂದೂರು—ಅನುಸೂಚಿತ ಜಾತಿಗಳು).—ರೇಷ್ಮೆ ಗೂಡನ್ನು ತೂಗುವಾಗ ದೊಣ್ಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೂಗುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—You will be pleased to see that under Section 4 (2) we have got power to control and regulate it. Weighing by some of these measures can be controlled. There is ample provision in the Act and I am making provision in the Rules also to prohibit all these kinds of things.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narasipur).—I rise to place my views on this Bill. Sir, in the Statement of Objects and Reasons, the reason given